

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO
EASTERN DIVISION**

HOUSTON BYRD,

Plaintiff,

v.

**Case No.: 2:18-cv-950
JUDGE GEORGE C. SMITH
Magistrate Judge Jolson**

**MAUREEN O’CONNOR, Chief Justice,
Supreme Court of Ohio,**

Defendant.

OPINION AND ORDER

This matter is before the Court on Plaintiff’s Motion for Perjury and Censor, Removal and Sanction of Said Judge and Motion for Violation of a Guide for Pro Se Civil Litigants. (Docs. 24 and 25). Plaintiff continues to argue that his case was wrongfully dismissed and asks the Court to reconsider the Opinion and Order dismissing the case and the final judgment entered against Plaintiff on November 8, 2018. (Docs. 18 and 19).

A motion for reconsideration is the opportunity for the court to “rectify its own mistakes in the period immediately following the entry to judgment,” not an opportunity for the Plaintiff to re-litigate issues previously considered. *White v. N.H. Dep’t of Emp’t Sec.*, 455 U.S. 445, 450 (1982); *Macdermid Inc. v. Electrochemicals Inc.*, Nos. 96-3995, 96-4072, 142 F.3d 435 (Table), 1998 WL 165137, at *6 n. 7 (6th Cir. 1998). There are limited situations in which a court will grant a motion for reconsideration, such as “when there is (1) an intervening change of controlling law; (2) new evidence available; or (3) a need to correct a clear error or prevent manifest injustice.” *Louisville/Jefferson Cty. Metro Gov’t v. Hotels.com, L.P.*, 590 F.3d 381,389

(6th Cir. 2009). The Court will only reconsider a prior motion under limited circumstances and Plaintiff has not made any allegations that fall within the aforementioned categories. Further, Plaintiff's Motion is not substantiated or supported by any relevant law. Therefore, Plaintiff's Motion for Perjury and Censor and for Violation of a Guide for Pro Se Civil Litigants are **DENIED.**

Any other requests by Plaintiff not specifically addressed in this Opinion and Order are **DENIED AS MOOT** as this matter has been fully considered and final judgment has been entered. There is no basis for further review.

The Clerk shall remove Documents 24 and 25 from the Court's pending motions list and this case shall remain closed. The Court further orders that no additional motions or other requests for relief shall be filed in this case as this matter is closed.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/ George C. Smith
GEORGE C. SMITH, JUDGE
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT